

Preface

Here is a description of the overall logic and layout of the ensuing chapters:

[Chapter 1](#) is an overview of key issues and challenges inherent in both private practice and institution-based practice with some suggested solutions. [Chapter 2](#) is the equivalent of “get out while you still can” and goes into some detail about the more harrowing aspects of self-employment versus institution-based employment and how to mitigate those factors in each setting. [Chapter 3](#) provides a further antidote to the difficulties discussed in [Chapter 2](#), by reminding you of all of the many positive features and relative advantages contained within each of those two employment settings.

[Chapters 4–6](#) focus on development of your own unique practice whether private or departmental. In [Chapter 4](#), the initial survey of the various ways you could spend your work time allows you to evaluate and determine what you really like to do. [Chapter 5](#) has practical suggestions on ways to establish your initial practice in a sound fiscal manner and gradually accrue contracts and other sources of paid employment in private practice. This chapter also reviews ways to build a good referral network and evaluation service in an institution. [Chapter 6](#) discusses marketing, including how to take advantage of professional activities you already enjoy and transform them into marketing tools, again, in both the private practice and institutional contexts.

[Chapter 7](#) looks more closely at the expense side of the business and suggests creative approaches to managing costs in each setting.

[Chapters 8 and 9](#) are devoted to special topics (as are [Chapters 13–16](#)), looking first at medical-legal work ([Chapter 8](#)) and at consulting work ([Chapter 9](#)) as interesting sources of income.

[Chapters 10 and 11](#) examine specific neuropsychological concerns, with an emphasis on the interview and testing process in [Chapter 10](#). A review of the clinical nuts and bolts of running an evaluation practice is included in [Chapter 11](#), which covers topics ranging from referral etiquette to billing and collections, including updates regarding ICD-10.

[Chapter 13](#) is a comprehensive but practical description of milieu-based interdisciplinary neuro-rehabilitation program care with examples for implementing and carrying out such a treatment model.

[Chapter 14](#) is devoted to a hands-on discussion of how to develop and deliver a cognitive group to pique your interest about considering group treatment formats as part of an individual private practice. Even people who don't

want to have a treatment practice may find the suggestions in [Chapter 14](#) for Cognitive Group of interest. One can use an educational model that is not primarily psychotherapeutic in nature and run particular groups much as a class or course for participants.

[Chapter 15](#) takes a closer look at the MMPI in neuropsychological and neuro-rehabilitation practice, with two detailed case examples of profiles and the associated personality strengths and vulnerabilities to be considered, supported, and treated.

[Chapter 16](#) describes the development and implementation of a clinical neuropsychology postdoctoral fellowship for a military clinical psychologist who wished to acquire neuropsychology specialization.

[Chapter 17](#) describes ways to keep the scientist alive in your self-employment framework via research and publication. [Chapter 18](#) is a final commentary and summary of the ideas presented in this volume.

The appendices were developed (and now updated and expanded) to provide you with practical examples of helpful forms and other information I have used in my practice over these many years. These forms range from consent forms and information sheets for patients to comprehensive report formats and reference sheets for typical Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes and diagnostic (ICD-9) codes that are common in neuropsychological practice. In addition, you will find a sample outline and handouts for a cognitive group.

You are welcome to use any and all of the appendix materials, as long as you credit this source for any use of handouts and do not sell or distribute any of the [Appendix](#) pages for profit. Please keep in mind that final decisions about codes to use for billing and diagnosis in your practice are fully your decisions, and that in the United States, ICD-9 and/or DSM-V guidelines are your final references until ICD-10 is enacted on October 1, 2015.

Chapter 1

The Challenges of Practice in Neuropsychology

Overview of Key Issues and Effective Solutions

PROS AND CONS OF THE INSTITUTIONAL SETTING

One of the major challenges in a scientist–practitioner model of private practice is to preserve what is best about the academic and clinical aspects of full-time institutional work, while reducing the less attractive features such employment can bring.

On the positive side of the ledger, institutions such as hospitals, clinics, or universities typically provide an array of supports for their professional staff. This usually includes a regular salary, office space, transcription support, scheduling help, at least some built-in referrals from other departments, and billing and collections personnel. Paid sick leave and vacation days are typical, while retirement benefits and perhaps some financial support for continuing education may also be part of the package. In addition, one may have on-site opportunity for grand rounds or other in-service training. Regular contact with like-minded colleagues can provide very helpful interpersonal and professional support and stimulation.

On the negative side of the ledger, one may be subject to a very high and unreasonable workflow of patients that the institution has made a commitment to serve, but for whom sufficient clinical personnel have not been hired. You may have very little control in the patient selection process (e.g., if patients are part of that system and need to see a neuropsychologist, you will see them). You may also be subject at times to the political vagaries of poor administrators or supervisors, to bureaucratic inefficiencies that affect daily quality of work life, or problematic support staff. And there may not be a fair linkage between your level of productivity and your salary, nor, perhaps, room to grow and advance within your profession.

At those times, the joys of private practice exert a strong pull. These include designing the type of practice you want, e.g., evaluation only, evaluation and treatment, medical–legal consultation, and part-time teaching as part of the mix. It includes a choice about which days of the week you work, and

other aspects of your schedule. You are free to decide when to take vacation, or to schedule personal appointments at convenient times. Ironically, in my private practice years I also found it far easier to protect large blocks of time for record review, test data review, report preparation, journal reading, and various writing and research projects than has ever been true in the institutional setting. I also had more space in which to work than the typical institutional office provides.

And how about the less desirable aspects of private practice? For me personally as a neuropsychologist I did not have as extensive a range of complex neurological cases in my years of private practice as I was able to see in the academic medical setting. While I was able to pull from several diagnostic groups by working on contract in a medical center combined with my own private referrals, I was still missing some major evaluation groups, e.g., people with brain tumors. I was also not an integral part of a neuro-rehabilitation team. By working as a contract consultant for a private rehabilitation company I had weekly or biweekly discussions with their key clinicians and neuro-rehab teams, but it was a periodic consultative rather than integral daily clinical role.

In the scientist–practitioner model for private practice in neuropsychology, you can create a career life that incorporates some of the best features of each world: the institutional and the self-employed. This approach allows for an ongoing refinement of your activities, in a manner that hopefully avoids or reduces some of the more egregious effects of bureaucracies and institutions and that offsets some of the negative aspects of private practice.

One way to maximize what institutions have to offer is to select an institution and department that you like and to negotiate a part-time contractual relationship with them (see [Chapters 4 and 5](#) for more details). In this manner, you may be able to see patients who would not typically come to your private practice, but whose neurologic problems may be of great interest to you. You can also charge an hourly fee to the facility for your time and let them handle billing and collections. You are also more likely to remain somewhat free of institutional politics, i.e., you show up to see your patients, conduct the evaluations, and provide results and referrals. It is only when changes in referral patterns or billing practices are threatened that you may need to take a more active role in problem resolution with regular staff.

MAINTAINING HIGH-LEVEL SKILLS

When opportunities for continuing education are not built into your daily routines (such as stopping by grand rounds, weekly brain cuttings in neuropathology, listening to the neuroradiologists debate the merits of particular imaging approaches, or neuroanatomic details of an interesting case), you need to find solid and creative ways to fill this gap. Neuropsychologists must not neglect to update and expand their knowledge in the areas of

neuroanatomy, neuropathology, and basic neurobehavioral issues associated with various diseases and syndromes once they have graduated from formal training. Although annual professional conferences and journal articles help, there is nothing like observation and discussion with expert colleagues to promote new growth in our own neuronal systems.

You may need to expand or supplement your reading of professional journals and develop a regular journal club meeting with colleagues. These activities can expose you to new knowledge and help ensure that you regularly hear informed perspectives on professional matters. Two helpful avenues for ongoing development of your skills include reviewing specific cases with other neuropsychologists who may have special areas of interest or developing an ongoing consultation relationship with a more experienced neuropsychologist.

Attending local meetings of your community's neuropsychology and neurology society meetings can be an excellent source of up-to-date clinical and research information and a potential source of referrals. These two groups can be particularly important for neuropsychologists, who need to be at the top of their form with respect to specific testing knowledge and broader neurobehavioral issues. The latter includes adequate understanding of the disease or injury from a medical standpoint, especially as it is likely to affect neuropsychological functions. There are also workshops, conferences, new books, and new test training opportunities. Although one may need to be selective, sharing the cost and use of these resources can be a very helpful approach in private practice. Books and test materials may be loaned to colleagues in similar circumstances. For some conferences, you and your colleagues may decide to rotate attendance, with the attendee bringing back specific ideas, reprints, and tapes to share.

It is important to acknowledge that scientist-practitioners in private practice are often caught between their training needs and the time and cost associated with leaving one's practice to pursue such opportunities. When we fly to a conference, give a talk, or attend a workshop, we not only bear the full training expense, but we must also continue to cover our rent and other overhead, while not earning any income during the time we are away. I am not sure if that constitutes a triple whammy, or merely a double whammy, but it is one of the more challenging aspects of self-employment.

Fortunately, a sole proprietor can keep an income stream flowing by hiring neuropsychologists to conduct evaluations in your absence, in a manner pre-negotiated with some of your referral sources (see [Chapter 7](#)). And, if one implements some of the cost-sharing ideas described above for continuing education needs, you will find a stimulating and satisfying mix of information that doesn't break the bank in the process. Finally, the heightened awareness, thoughtfulness, and energy that private practitioners must invest in their own training can produce a level of development in one's own craft not always found among personnel more safely ensconced in an institutional setting.

THE INTERDISCIPLINARY NETWORK

For me, the most difficult aspect of private practice was the absence in my daily practice of an established and mature team for the comprehensive interdisciplinary evaluation and treatment of neurologically compromised patients. Such a team typically includes speech language pathologists, occupational therapists, physical therapists, vocational rehabilitation counselors, social workers, recreational therapists, clinical psychologists and neuropsychologists, and rehabilitation medicine physicians. The absence of this kind of team was especially problematic for my patients of working age. For them, well-integrated team treatments are often critical if they are going to resume competitive employment and reestablish a more normal life of work, love, and play.

My neuropsychological evaluations documented the patient's cognitive, emotional, characterologic, and neurobehavioral disturbances and strengths. I also made recommendations for further work-up by other disciplines as appropriate for my evaluation patients. If the patient needed only a single service or treatment, it was a relatively simple matter to refer them to a good clinician.

It was otherwise a source of concern and frustration to witness the piecemeal and poorly integrated help some patients wound up receiving because they needed several different kinds of therapy and their various practitioners were scattered throughout the city. There was often no clear case manager and no good forum for effective communication among those providers.

Perhaps this varies significantly from city to city throughout the United States and Canada, or it may be different in other countries. In America, it seems relatively easy to find similar practitioners self-employed together (e.g., physicians, psychologists, neuropsychologists, speech pathologists, or vocational counselors), but more difficult to find self-employed interdisciplinary groups who provide comprehensive outpatient neuro-rehabilitation services. (An important exception in the United States is the interdisciplinary team care by the company, Rehab Without Walls.)

A number of approaches can be helpful for the solo practitioner. One obvious solution is to form good working relationships with as many of the various institution-based and private outpatient programs in the larger community as possible. One further advantage to starting out in an institution or program prior to becoming self-employed is that you have firsthand appreciation for the respective strengths and limitations of each program. You can then more effectively direct your own patients to programs likely to meet their treatment needs.

It is also possible to develop good working systems with therapists from various private practices who get to know one another over time through you and through patients that you have sent them to treat. It is important in this circumstance to make sure that someone has been designated as the clinical team leader. Sometimes the attending physician capably fills this role alone; at other times, an experienced rehabilitation therapist or neuropsychologist may need to